## Rethinking comprehension strategies to better prepare students for critically evaluating content on the Internet

Julie L. Coiro
University of Connecticut. C7

ood readers use a variety of strategies to solve comprehension problems or deepen their understanding of a text. Reading critically means being able to construct, extend and examine the meaning of what is read. Critical readers demonstrate the ability to investigate sources, recognize an author's purpose, distinguish opinion from fact, make inferences, form judgments and detect propaganda devices (Spache, 1964). Langer (1991) outlined four levels of behaviors that all readers should exhibit, including the ability to take a critical stance by thinking about a text from the perspective of how and why it was developed the way it was by the author. This is a highly reflective skill that requires read.

to promote products and services, directly or indirectly." Similarly, one can now easily locate entire websites devoted to keeping up with the evergrowing collections of informational hoaxes that appear on the Internet (e.g., Current Netlore at <a href="http://urbanlegends.about.com/library/blhoax.htm">http://urbanlegends.about.com/library/blhoax.htm</a>; The Museum of Hoaxes at <a href="http://www.museumofhoaxes.com">http://www.museumofhoaxes.com</a>; and Kathy Shrock's compilation at <a href="http://school.discovery.com/schrockguide/eval.html">http://school.discovery.com/schrockguide/eval.html</a>). These examples clearly demonstrate the incredibly complex challenges for educators struggling to prepare students to analyze, evaluate and interact with informational texts on the

Critical literacy experts and professional organizations have developed numerous evaluation guides and checklists to aid teachers and students as they read on the Internet (e.g., see examples listed at <a href="http://www2.vuw.ac.nz/staff/">http://www2.vuw.ac.nz/staff/</a> alastair\_smith/evaln/evaln.htm>). Although many include questions about content, most educators attend to variables that are more about graphic design and usability rather than the actual content of the message itself (Cottrell, 2001). Similarly, a recent poll of 1,500 adult Internet users found that "the average consumer paid far more attention to the superficial aspects of a site, such as visual cues" like layout, font size and color scheme, rather than focusing on the "breadth, depth, and quality of a site's information" (Stanford Persuasive Technology Lab, 2002). As a result, teachers and students are often distracted by their focus on the glitz of web design and the workability of links and are not spending enough time focusing on evaluating the explicit content or the implicit messages contained within information on the Internet for the purpose of building comprehension and critical read-

My work with teachers in professional development sessions supports this notion. Often, using these checklists to evaluate a parody website such as The Onion <a href="http://www.theonion.com">http://www.theonion.com</a> or HotAir <a href="http://www.improbable.com">http://www.improbable.com</a>, teachers will notice that each of these websites is very current, has contact information about the author, loads quickly, is well organized

ing skills.

lums (Kinzer & Leander, in press; Leu, 2002). Thus, the purpose of this article is two-fold: first, to explore the nature of information on the Internet and the implications related to critical reading and comprehension instruction; and second, to highlight a few instructional models that can help shape a broader critical comprehension curriculum. My hope is to provide a link between research and practice for educators attempting to integrate research-based reading practices with new technologies in their classrooms. This two-tiered format is also intended to illustrate how the Internet functions both as the source of new challenges for literacy educators as well as the source of excellent instructional solutions.

## THE NATURE OF INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET: IMPLICATIONS FOR COMPREHENSION INSTRUCTION

When exploring the nature of informational texts on the Internet, there are at least six features that should impact our thinking about reading comprehension instruction that encompasses new information and communication technologies.

There is little consistency in the multimedia formatting of information on the Internet.

The Internet is growing by leaps and bounds and information is commonly communicated in multiple media formats (Brunner & Tally, 1999; Reinking & ChanLin. 1994) with little uniformity

of the information that is constructed and communicated on the Internet.

Anyone with access to the Internet can easily compose and publish informational text, graphical images, and video clips without going through the processes of neer-review or screening: likewise

There is little in the way of quality control

pose and publish informational text, graphical images, and video clips without going through the processes of peer-review or screening; likewise, anyone can claim to be an authority on a particular subject. Consequently, several researchers and media experts (e.g., Burbules, 1999; Ciolek, 1996; Harris, 1997) have questioned the credibility of information on the Internet. These scholars strongly encourage readers to actively assume responsibilities once given to editors and publishing companies. On the Internet, young readers are

qualifications, pay attention to their sponsors and verify contact information in case of questions, comments or problems. Similarly, readers should be able to make judgments about the overall quality and richness of the content available by a web author.

now expected to identify authors, investigate their

The amount of information available on the Internet can be overwhelming. Free and easy access to the proliferation of information on the Internet has led some to issue warnings of there being "more information available than we can possibly digest or consume or fathom. We have passed from a smokestack age which was

information lean to an age of info-glut and info-

authors with good intentions to keep the links on their website working and current. In fact, many web authors include a clause on their page indicating that they cannot be held responsible for broken links or changing information appearing at these links. Moreover, just because a page was recently updated does not mean that the information is up-to-date. As a result, readers need to be taught how to read deeply within the context of the topic itself while looking for clues that might help determine the date of the information provided and then, how to locate more current information if the original source is indeed out-ofdate. Digital manipulation has become a popular form of deception on the Internet.

ternet webpages and the constant change of ser-

vice providers, it is quite difficult even for web

Media literacy experts are wrestling with the issues arising from an increasing number of electronically altered images that are shared via the

htm>). In the beginning of the PBS video Media Matters featured from their accompanying Newseum website <a href="http://www.newseum.">http://www.newseum.</a> org/>, the narrator explains, "In the traditional

Internet (read more from The Center for Media

Literacy's "Is Seeing Believing?" project at

<a href="http://www.med.sc.edu:1081/isb.">http://www.med.sc.edu:1081/isb.</a>

process of news photography over the decades,

garbage" (Mackenzie, 1997, <http:// the image itself was rarely tinkered with. But www.fno.org/mar97/deep.html>) Several things are now different Today's computer tech-

Information on the Internet is often intertwined with hidden social, economic, and political agendas.

These forces have the potential to influence and bias unsuspecting, non-critical readers (Kalantzis & Cope, 2000; Kinzer & Leander, in press; Leu & Kinzer, 2002). Personal information is regularly solicited, website visitors who stay long or share the website with friends are rewarded with special prizes and gimmicks, and "barely disguised product marketing surveys" populate many websites designed for young readers (Aufderheide, 2001). Advertisements, interactive games, search functions, informational passages, related links and consumer surveys at popular children's websites like American Girl <a href="http://www.americangirl">http://www.americangirl</a>. com/>, LegoLand <http://www.legoland. com/> and Scholastic <http://www. scholastic.com/> are often intertwined within the same web page, causing confusion about the author's underlying intentions. This has prompted media literacy experts to integrate critical literacy strategies into classroom instruction to help students approach and process both traditional and new media with a sense of informed skepticism and critical "habits of mind" (Brunner & Tally, 1999; Jones & Falanga, 2000). Critical questions outlined by Brunner & Tally encourage students to consider the following questions when viewing any type of media: "What particular perspective of reality is presented? What explicit or hidden values underlie this text? What media conventions are

more aware of these differences and how and when to appropriately apply traditional comprehension strategies or develop new ones to comprehend what they read on the Internet.

## INSTRUCTIONAL MODELS FOR CRITICAL COMPREHENSION INSTRUCTION

Paradoxically, educators can turn to the same Internet that poses such challenges to access models of instructional resources that inspire us in our attempts to meet the needs of readers and writers developing new literacy skills. I've discovered several categories of websites that address critical reading and thinking within Internet text environments; I'll briefly mention three here including (1) developmentally appropriate interactive test-drives through comprehension tasks influenced by Internet issues; (2) efforts to share lessons that integrate media and visual literacy skills with more traditional critical reading strategies; and (3) online repositories designed to elicit and store critical evaluations. Let's explore just a few online resources in each area.

Several hands-on interactive environments have been designed to engage students in developing an awareness of important Internet issues. Students of any age can be introduced to differences in critical reading on the Internet as they earn their very own Internet driver's license. Elementary readers learn the "rules of the road" as they earn an official PBS Kid's Web License at <a href="http://pbs.kids.org/bts/license/">http://pbs.kids.org/bts/license/</a>, middle school read-

lum from Cybersmart <http://www.cyber smartcurriculum.org/curr\_over/> supports the transition of critical thinking to Internet environments. Educators cognizant of new Internet literacies have designed lessons to help students appreciate the complexities of online advertising <http://www.education-world.com/ a\_lesson/lesson158.shtml>; use Internet resources to validate simple facts [with some surprising results] <http://www.classroomtools. com/facts.htm>; interpret propaganda < http:/ /www.classroomtools.com/prop.htm>; recognize digital manipulation <http://www. fakeorfoto.com/>, understand the impact of visual design < http://www.kn.pacbell.com/ wired/21stcent/principles.html> and view primary document sources with a critical eye <a href="http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/">http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/</a> ndlpedu/index.html>. Finally, this sharing of effective resources and strategies on the Internet has inspired some to offer their services in managing online projects geared toward eliciting and storing student products of critical evaluation tasks. Students from around the world are collaborating with teachers Susan Silverman and Melissa McMullen at <http:// comsewogue.k12.ny.us/~ssilverman/ documents/index.htm> to locate historical documents and generate critical evaluation questions that are published online for others to answer. Similarly, middle school students in the state of Wisconsin have joined forces as Internet Detectives at <http://www.madison.k12.wi.us/

htm>.

Brunner, C.B., & Tally, W. (1999). The new media literacy handbook: An educator's guide to bringing new media into the classroom. New York, NY: Anchor Books.

Burbules, N. (2001). Paradoxes of the web: The ethical dimensions of credibility. Library Trends, 49, 441-453.

Burmack, L. (2002). Visual literacy: Learn to see, see to learn. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Ciolek, T.M. (1996). The six quests for the elec-

Aufderheide, P. (2001). Activities available on

children's websites: A survey. Retrieved March 16, 2003

from < http://www1.soc.american.edu/faculty/

quests 1996.html>.

Coiro, J. (2003). Reading comprehension on the Internet: Expanding our understanding of reading comprehension to encompass new literacies. The Reading Teacher, 56, 458-464.

Cottrell, J. (2001). Teaching students to evaluate

web sources more critically: Implications from a faculty

abilities. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the

National Reading Conference. San Antonio, TX.

tronic grail: Current approaches to information quality

in WWW resources. Retrieved March 15, 2003, from

<http://www.ciolek.com/PAPERS/six-

workshop. Retrieved February 15, 2003 from <a href="http://www.ala.org/acrl/cottrell.html">http://www.ala.org/acrl/cottrell.html</a>.

Eagleton, M. (2001). Factors that influence Internet inquiry strategies: Case studies of middle school students with and without learning dis-

www.criticalreading.com/critical\_reading\_thinking.htm>.

Langer, J. (1991) Literary understanding and literature instruction. National Research Center on English Learning & Achievement. Retrieved March 15, 2003 from <a href="http://cela.albany.edu/literary/index.html">http://cela.albany.edu/literary/index.html</a>.

Leu, D.J., Jr. (2002). The new literacies: Research on reading instruction with the Internet and other digital technologies. In J. Samuels and A. E. Farstrup (Eds.), What research has to say about reading instruction (pp. 310-337). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Leu, DJ. Jr., & Kinzer, C.K. (2000). The convergence of literacy instruction with networked technologies for information and communication. Reading Research Quarterly, 35 108-127.

McKenzie, J. (1997). Deep thinking and deep reading in an age of info-glut, info-garbage, info-glitz and info-glimmer. From Now On: Educational Technology Journal. Retrieved March 10, 2003 from <a href="http://www.fno.org/mar97/deep.html">http://www.fno.org/mar97/deep.html</a>.

Neilson, J. (2002). Kid's corner: Website usability for children. Retrieved November 15, 2002, from <a href="http:/">http:/</a>

/www.useit.com/alertbox/20020414.html>.

RAND Reading Study Group. (2002). Reading for understanding: Towards an R&D program in reading comprehension. Retrieved February 8, 2002 from <a href="http://www.rand.org/multi/achievementforall/readreport.html">http://www.rand.org/multi/achievementforall/readreport.html</a>.

Reinking, D., & ChanLin, L. (1994). Graphics aids in electronic texts. Reading Research and Instruction, 33, 207-232.

Spache, G.D. (1964). Reading in the elementary school. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Stanford Persuasive Technology Lab (2002). How do people evaluate a website's credibility?: Results from a large study. Consumer WebWatch. Retrieved March 15, 2003 from <a href="http://www.consumerwebwatch.org/">http://www.consumerwebwatch.org/</a> news/report3\_credibilityresearch/stanfordPTL\_abstract.htm>.

Sutherland-Smith, W. (2002). Weaving the literacy web: Changes in reading from page to screen. The Read-ing Teacher, 55(7), 662-669.

Todd, R.T. (1997). Search engines: Making them work for you. Retrieved January 10, 2003, from <a href="http://www.schools.nsw.edu.au/schoollibraries/teaching/vc\_3.htm">http://www.schools.nsw.edu.au/schoollibraries/teaching/vc\_3.htm</a>.